

ON 2-ARC-TRANSITIVE GRAPHS ADMITTING A VERTEX-TRANSITIVE SIMPLE GROUP

ZAI PING LU

ABSTRACT. A graph Γ is said to be 2-arc-transitive if its automorphism group acts transitively on the set of 2-arcs of Γ . In this paper, we give a group-theoretic characterization of those connected 2-arc-transitive graphs which admit a vertex-transitive simple group.

KEYWORDS. Simple group, quasisimple group, perfect group, arc-transitive, 2-arc-transitive.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, all groups are assumed to be finite, and all graphs are assumed to be finite, simple and undirected.

Let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be a regular graph with vertex set V and edge set E . Denote by $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ the automorphism group of Γ , and let G be a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$. The graph Γ is called G -vertex-transitive, or G is called a *vertex-transitive group* of Γ , if G acts transitively on V , and called a Cayley graph of G if G acts regularly on V . Recall that an arc of Γ is an ordered pair of adjacent vertices, and a 2-arc is a triple (α, β, γ) of vertices with $\{\alpha, \beta\}, \{\beta, \gamma\} \in E$ and $\alpha \neq \gamma$. The graph Γ is called G -arc-transitive (or $(G, 2)$ -arc-transitive) if it has no isolated vertex and G acts transitively on the set of arcs (or the set of 2-arcs). Note that 2-arc-transitivity leads to arc-transitivity, and arc-transitivity leads to vertex-transitivity.

In the literature, the solutions of quite a number of problems about arc-transitive graphs have been reduced or partially reduced into the class of graphs arising from (almost) simple groups. For example, the reduction for arc-transitive graphs of prime valency [25], the reduction for 2-arc-transitive graphs established in [27], the Weiss Conjecture [34, Conjecture 3.12] for non-bipartite locally primitive graphs [5], the normality of Cayley graphs of simple groups [10, 11], the existence and classification of edge-primitive graphs [13, 26], and so on. Certainly, the class of graphs admitting (almost) simple groups plays an important role in the theory of arc-transitive graphs.

In this paper, we focus on those arc-transitive graphs which admit a vertex-transitive simple group. One of our motivations comes from a problem in the study of the automorphism groups or the normality of arc-transitive Cayley graphs of finite nonabelian simple groups. Let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be a connected G -arc-transitive graph of valency $d \geq 3$. Assume that either d is a prime or Γ is $(G, 2)$ -arc-transitive, and G has a nonabelian simple subgroup T which acts regularly on V . Then the Weiss Conjecture is true for (Γ, G) , that is, the orders of vertex-stabilizers have an upper bound depending only on the valency d , refer to [5]. This ensures that T is normal in

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 05C25, 20B25, 20E22.

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (12331013, 12161141006) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.

G with a finite number of exceptions, see [10, Theorem 1.1]. An interesting problem, as proposed in [10], is to figure out the exceptions for T . This problem has been solved for $d \leq 5$ in several papers, refer to [8, 9, 10, 31]. In [32], the exceptions for T are determined under the assumption that d is a prime and a vertex-stabilizer is solvable. The other possible exceptions for T can be read out from a recent paper [21], which are alternating groups, simple groups with $|T| - 1 = d$ and, possibly, the simple orthogonal groups of minus type and characteristic 2. With these, we observe that if T is not normal in G then G is an almost simple group. This leads to another interesting problem. What will happen if we weaken the ‘regularity’ of T into ‘transitivity’? Thus, in this paper, we consider those arc-transitive graphs satisfying the following assumptions:

Hypothesis 1.1. Γ is a connected G -arc-transitive graph of valency $d \geq 3$, G contains a vertex-transitive nonabelian simple subgroup T , and either d is a prime or Γ is $(G, 2)$ -arc-transitive.

Recall that a group X is perfect if it equals to its derived subgroup. If a central extension of some simple group is perfect then it is called a quasisimple group or a covering group of the simple group. For a finite group X , denote by $\text{rad}(X)$ and $\mathbf{O}_r(X)$, respectively, the maximal solvable normal subgroup and the maximal normal r -subgroup of X , where r is a prime divisor of $|X|$.

In Section 4, the following result is proved.

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that Γ , G and T are described as in Hypothesis 1.1. Then G has at most one transitive minimal normal subgroup, and one of the following holds:*

- (1) $G \cong \text{AGL}_3(2)$, and Γ is the complete graph on 8 vertices;
- (2) T is contained in a characteristic perfect subgroup N of G , and either
 - (i) N is quasisimple; or
 - (ii) $N/\mathbf{O}_r(N)$ is quasisimple, T and $N/\text{rad}(N)$ are simple groups of Lie type over finite fields of characteristic r , and $|\text{rad}(N)|$ is a divisor of $|T|$.

In particular, if G has a transitive minimal normal subgroup M , then either $G \cong \text{AGL}_3(2)$ or M is simple and $T \leq M$.

Theorem 1.2 is just the first step toward characterizing those simple groups which act transitively on the vertex set of a 2-arc-transitive graph or an arc-transitive graph of prime valency, and then classifying those graphs in Hypothesis 1.1 with T not normal in G . For (2)(i) and (ii) of Theorem 1.2 with $T \neq N$ (and so $N/\text{rad}(N) \not\cong T$), we observe that the simple group $N/\text{rad}(N)$ has a factorization $N/\text{rad}(N) = XY$ with $X \cong T$ and $Y \neq 1$. In a sequel, employing factorizations of finite (almost) simple groups, we shall work out a possible list for those simple groups T which are not normal in G .

2. PRIMES INVOLVED IN SOME FINITE SIMPLE GROUPS

In this section, we assume that n is a positive integer and r is a prime. Write

$$(2.1) \quad n = a_0 + a_1r + \cdots + a_kr^k, \quad s_r(n) = a_0 + a_1 + \cdots + a_k,$$

where a_i are integers with $0 \leq a_i < r$. For an integer x , denote by $\nu_r(x)$ the highest power of r that divides x . By Legendre’s formula,

$$(2.2) \quad \nu_r(n!) = \frac{n - s_r(n)}{r - 1}.$$

In particular, $\nu_r(n!) \leq n - 1$, where the equality holds if and only if $r = 2$ and n is a power of 2.

Recall that, for integers $l \geq 2$ and $q \geq 2$, a primitive prime divisor of $q^l - 1$ is a prime which divides $q^l - 1$ but does not divide $q^i - 1$ for any $0 < i < l$. If r is a primitive prime divisor of $q^l - 1$, then q has order l modulo r , and thus l is a divisor of $r - 1$, in particular, $r \geq l + 1$; if further $r \mid (q^m - 1)$ with $m \geq 1$ then $l \mid m$. Thus, by [12, Theorems 3.1 and 3.5], we have the following result, where $[x]$ denotes the integer part of a real number x .

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\Lambda_n(q) = \prod_{i=1}^n (q^i - 1)$, where n and q are integers no less than 2. Assume that r is a prime divisor of $\Lambda_n(q)$, and let l be the order of q modulo r . Then one of the following holds:*

- (1) r is odd or $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and $\nu_r(\Lambda_n(q)) = \lfloor \frac{n}{l} \rfloor \nu_r(q^l - 1) + \nu_r(\lfloor \frac{n}{l} \rfloor!)$;
- (2) $r = 2$, $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, and $\nu_2(\Lambda_n(q)) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \nu_2(q + 1) + \lfloor \frac{n+a_0}{2} \rfloor + \nu_2(n!)$.

Corollary 2.2. *Let n , q , r and $\Lambda_n(q)$ be as in Lemma 2.1. Then either*

- (1) $\nu_r(\Lambda_n(q)) < n \log_2(q) + \nu_r(n!) \leq q^{\frac{n}{2}} + n - 1$ for $(r, q) \neq (2, 3)$; or
- (2) $(r, q) = (2, 3)$ and $\nu_2(\Lambda_n(q)) \leq \frac{5n-2}{2} \leq 3^{\frac{n}{2}} + n - 1$.

In particular, $\nu_2(\Lambda_n(q)) = q^{\frac{n}{2}} + n - 1$ if and only if $(r, q, n) = (2, 3, 2)$.

Proof. Let l be the order of q modulo r .

Assume that (1) of Lemma 2.1 holds. Noting that $\nu_r(n!) \leq n - 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_r(\Lambda_n(q)) &= \lfloor \frac{n}{l} \rfloor \nu_r(q^l - 1) + \nu_r(\lfloor \frac{n}{l} \rfloor!) \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{l} \rfloor \log_r(q^l - 1) + \nu_r(\lfloor \frac{n}{l} \rfloor!) \\ &< \lfloor \frac{n}{l} \rfloor \log_r(q^l) + \nu_r(\lfloor \frac{n}{l} \rfloor!) \leq \log_r(q^n) + \nu_r(n!) \leq \log_2(q^n) + n - 1. \end{aligned}$$

It is easily shown that $x^{\frac{1}{2}} - \log_2(x)$ is nonnegative and monotonically increasing when $x \geq 16$. It follows that either $\log_2(q^n) \leq q^{\frac{n}{2}}$ or $q^n \leq 15$. The former case yields part (1) of this corollary. For $q^n \leq 15$, since either r is odd or $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, the only possibility is that $(q, n) = (2, 2)$ or $(2, 3)$; in this case, $r \in \{3, 7\}$ and $\nu_r(\Lambda_n(q)) = 1$, which also meets (1) of the corollary.

Now let $r = 2$ and $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. If $q > 3$ then $n < \frac{n}{2} \log_2 q$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_2(\Lambda_n(q)) &\leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \nu_2(q + 1) + \lfloor \frac{n + a_0}{2} \rfloor + \nu_2(n) \\ &< \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \log_2(2q) + \lfloor \frac{n + 1}{2} \rfloor + \nu_2(n!) \\ &= \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \log_2(q) + \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{n + 1}{2} \rfloor + \nu_2(n!) \\ &= \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \log_2(q) + n + \nu_2(n!) < n \log_2(q) + \nu_2(n!) \\ &\leq q^{\frac{n}{2}} + n - 1, \end{aligned}$$

desired as in (1) of this corollary. Assume that $q = 3$. Then

$$\nu_2(\Lambda_n(q)) = 2 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{n + a_0}{2} \rfloor + n - s_2(n).$$

Noting that $a_0 \in \{0, 1\}$ and $s_2(n) \geq 1$, we have

$$\nu_2(\Lambda_n(q)) \leq 2 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{n + 1}{2} \rfloor + n - 1 \leq \frac{5n - 2}{2}.$$

It is easily shown that $3^x \geq 3x$ for $x \geq 1$. Thus $\frac{5n-2}{2} = 3 \cdot \frac{n}{2} + n - 1 \leq 3^{\frac{n}{2}} + n - 1$, and the corollary follows. \square

For a group X , denote its derived subgroup by X' . For a finite simple group of Lie type in characteristic p , let $e(L)$ denote a lower bound, given as in [17, page 188, Table 5.3.A], on degrees of faithful projective s -modular representations of L with $s \neq p$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let L be a finite simple group of Lie type defined over a field of order $q = p^f$, where p is a prime. Assume that r is a prime divisor of $|L|$ with $r \neq p$. Then $\nu_r(|L|) < e(L)$ with the following exceptions:*

- (1) $L = \text{PSL}_2(9)$, $r = 2$, $\nu_r(|L|) = 3 = e(L)$;
- (2) $L = \text{Sp}_4(2)'$, $r = 3$, $\nu_r(|L|) = 2 = e(L)$;
- (3) $L = \text{PSU}_4(2)$, $r = 3$, $\nu_r(|L|) = 4 = e(L)$;
- (4) $L = \text{PSU}_4(3)$, $r = 2$, $\nu_r(|L|) = 7$ and $e(L) = 6$;
- (5) $L = \text{PSL}_2(5)$, $r = 2$, $\nu_r(|L|) = 2 = e(L)$;
- (6) $L = \text{PSL}_2(7)$, $r = 2$, $\nu_r(|L|) = 3 = e(L)$;
- (7) $L = \text{PSp}_4(3)$, $r = 2$, $\nu_r(|L|) = 6$ and $e(L) = 4$.

Proof. Suppose first that $(L, e(L))$ is a pair given as in the third column of [17, page 188, Table 5.3.A]. Then L , p , $e(L)$ and $|L|$ are listed in Table 2.1. Inspecting

L	p	$e(L)$	$ L $
$\text{PSL}_2(4)$	2	2	$p^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$
$\text{PSL}_2(9)$	3	3	$p^2 \cdot 2^3 \cdot 5$
$\text{PSL}_3(2)$	2	2	$p^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 7$
$\text{PSL}_3(4)$	2	4	$p^6 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$
$\text{Sp}_4(2)'$	2	2	$p^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5$
$\text{PSp}_6(2)'$	2	7	$p^9 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$
$\text{PSU}_4(2)$	2	4	$p^6 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5$
$\text{PSU}_4(3)$	3	6	$p^6 \cdot 2^7 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$
$\text{P}\Omega_8^+(2)$	2	8	$p^{12} \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7$
$\Omega_7(3)$	3	27	$p^9 \cdot 2^9 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$
$\text{F}_4(2)$	2	≥ 44	$p^{24} \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 13 \cdot 17$
$\text{G}_2(3)$	3	14	$p^6 \cdot 3^6 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$
$\text{G}_2(4)$	2	12	$p^{12} \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$
$\text{Sz}(8)$	2	8	$p^6 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$

TABLE 2.1. Exceptions for $e(L)$

the groups in Table 2.1, we have $\nu_r(|L|) < e(L)$ unless $(L, r, \nu_r(|L|), e(L))$ is one of $(\text{PSL}_2(9), 2, 3, 3)$, $(\text{Sp}_4(2)', 3, 2, 2)$, $(\text{PSU}_4(2), 3, 4, 4)$ and $(\text{PSU}_4(3), 2, 7, 6)$.

We next deal with the case where $e(L)$ is listed in the second column of [17, page 188, Table 5.3.A]. We fix a Sylow r -subgroup R of L . Then $\nu_r(|L|) = \nu_r(|R|)$.

Case 1. Assume that $L = \text{PSL}_2(q)$ and $e(L) = \frac{q-1}{(2, q-1)}$, where $4 < q \neq 9$. In this case, $|R|$ is a divisor of $\Lambda_2(q)$, and so $\nu_r(|L|) = \nu_r(|R|) \leq \nu_r(\Lambda_2(q))$. Since $q \neq 3$, by (1) of Corollary 2.2, $\nu_r(|L|) < 2 \log_2(q) + 1$. If $q \leq 15$ then $q = 5$ or 7 , which gives (5) or (6) of this lemma. Now let $q > 15$. Then $\log_2(q) \leq q^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and so $\nu_r(|L|) < 2 \log_2(q) + 1 \leq 2q^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1$. Suppose that $\nu_r(|L|) \geq e(L)$. Then $2q^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1 > \frac{q-1}{2}$,

and so $q^2 - 22q + 9 < 0$, yielding $q < 22$. Thus $q = 16, 17$ or 19 , and then $e(L) \geq 8$; however, r^8 is not a divisor of $|\text{PSL}_2(16)|$, $|\text{PSL}_2(17)|$ or $|\text{PSL}_2(19)|$, a contradiction. Then $\nu_r(|L|) < e(L)$, as desired.

Case 2. Assume that $L = \text{PSL}_n(q)$ and $e(L) = q^{n-1} - 1$, where $n > 2$ and $(n, q) \neq (3, 2), (3, 4)$. Suppose that $q^{\frac{n-1}{4}} - 1 \leq 1$. Then $q^{n-1} \leq 16$, and so $(n, q) = (3, 3), (4, 2)$ or $(5, 2)$. We have $e(L) \geq 7$, and $(|L|, p) = (2^4 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 13, 3), (2^6 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7, 2)$ or $(2^{10} \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 31, 2)$. It follows that $\nu_r(|L|) < e(L)$.

Now let $q^{\frac{n-1}{4}} - 1 > 1$. Then $q^{n-1} - 1 = (q^{\frac{n-1}{2}} + 1)(q^{\frac{n-1}{4}} + 1)(q^{\frac{n-1}{4}} - 1) > (q^{\frac{n-1}{2}} + 1)(q^{\frac{n-1}{4}} + 1)$, and so

$$e(L) > (q^{\frac{n-1}{2}} + 1)(q^{\frac{n-1}{4}} + 1) = q^{\frac{3(n-1)}{4}} + q^{\frac{n-1}{2}} + q^{\frac{n-1}{4}} + 1 > q^{\frac{n}{2}} + 2^{\frac{n-1}{2}} + 2.$$

Noting that $|R|$ is a divisor of $\Lambda_n(q)$, we have $\nu_r(|L|) = \nu_r(|R|) \leq \nu_r(\Lambda_n(q))$. By Corollary 2.2, $\nu_r(|L|) < q^{\frac{n}{2}} + n - 1$. If $n = 4$ then $e(L) > q^{\frac{n}{2}} + 4 > \nu_r(|L|)$. If $n \neq 4$ then $2^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \geq n - 1$, and thus $e(L) > q^{\frac{n}{2}} + n - 1 + 2 > \nu_r(|L|)$.

Case 3. Assume that $L = \text{PSP}_{2m}(q)$, where $m > 1$ and $(m, q) \neq (2, 2), (3, 2)$. Noting that $|R|$ is a divisor of $\Lambda_m(q^2)$, we have $\nu_r(|L|) = \nu_r(|R|) \leq \nu_r(\Lambda_m(q^2))$. By (1) of Corollary 2.2, since $q^2 \neq 3$, we have

$$\nu_r(|L|) < m \log_2(q^2) + \nu_r(m!) = 2 \log_2(q^m) + \nu_r(m!).$$

If $q^m \leq 15$ then $(m, q) = (2, 3)$; in this case, $r = 2$, $L = \text{PSP}_4(3)$, $\nu_r(|L|) = 6$ and $e(L) = \frac{q^m - 1}{2} = 4$, as in part (7). Thus we assume next that $q^m > 15$. Then $\log_2(q^m) \leq q^{\frac{m}{2}}$ and so $\nu_r(|L|) < 2q^{\frac{m}{2}} + m - 1$.

Suppose that q is odd. Then $e(L) = \frac{q^m - 1}{2}$. If $m > 3$ then $m \leq 2^{\frac{m}{2}}$, and so

$$\nu_r(|L|) < 2q^{\frac{m}{2}} + m - 1 \leq 2q^{\frac{m}{2}} + 2^{\frac{m}{2}} - 1 < q^{\frac{m+2}{2}} - 1 \leq q^{m-1} - 1 < e(L).$$

Assume that $m \leq 3$. Then either $(m, q) = (3, 3)$ or $q \geq 5$. For $(m, q) = (3, 3)$, we have $\nu_r(|L|) \leq 9 < 13 = e(L)$. Now let $q \geq 5$. If $m = 2$ then $\nu_r(|L|) < 2q + 1$, yielding $\nu_r(|L|) \leq 2q \leq \frac{q-1}{2}q < \frac{q^2-1}{2} = e(L)$. If $m = 3$ then $\nu_r(|L|) < 2q^{\frac{3}{2}} + 2$, and thus $\nu_r(|L|) \leq 2q^{\frac{3}{2}} + 1 < q^2 + q + 1 \leq \frac{q^3-1}{2} = e(L)$.

Suppose that q is even. Then $e(L) = \frac{q^{m-1}(q^{m-1}-1)(q-1)}{2}$. If $m > 3$ then

$$\nu_r(|L|) < 2q^{\frac{m}{2}} + m - 1 \leq 2q^{\frac{m}{2}} + 2^{\frac{m}{2}} - 1 \leq 3q^{\frac{m}{2}} - 1 < q^{\frac{m}{2} + \frac{7}{4}} - 1 < q^m < e(L).$$

If $m = 2$ then $q \geq 4$ and $q^m > 15$, and so $\nu_r(|L|) < 2q + 1 < \frac{q(q-1)^2}{2} = e(L)$. If $m = 3$ then $q \geq 4$, and so $\nu_r(|L|) < 2q^{\frac{3}{2}} + 2 < q^2 + q + 2 < 2q^2 < \frac{q^2(q^2-1)(q-1)}{2} = e(L)$.

Case 4. Assume that $L = \text{PSU}_n(q)$, where $n > 2$ and $(n, q) \neq (3, 2), (4, 2), (4, 3)$. Then $e(L) = \frac{q^n - 1}{q + 1}$ or $\frac{q^n - q}{q + 1}$, where n is even or odd respectively. Since $|R|$ is a divisor of $\Lambda_n(q^2)$, we have $\nu_r(|L|) = \nu_r(|R|) \leq \nu_r(\Lambda_n(q^2))$. Since $q^2 \neq 3$, by (1) of Corollary 2.2, $\nu_r(|L|) < \log_2(q^{2n}) + n - 1$. If $n = 4$ then $q \geq 4$, and so $\nu_r(|L|) < 8q + 3 < (q^2 + 1)(q - 1) = e(L)$. If $n = 3$ then $\nu_r(|L|) < 6q + 2 < q(q - 1) = e(L)$ unless $q < 8$; for $q < 8$, we also have $\nu_r(|L|) < e(L)$ by calculation of the order of L . If $n = 5$ then $\nu_r(|L|) < 10q + 4 < (q^2 + 1)q(q - 1) = e(L)$ unless $q = 2$; for the exception $(n, q) = (5, 2)$, we have $r \in \{3, 5, 11\}$, and $\nu_r(|L|) \leq 5 < 10 = e(L)$. If $n = 6$ then $\nu_r(|L|) < 12q + 5 < (q^3 - 1)(q^2 - q + 1) = e(L)$ unless $q = 2$; for the exception $(n, q) = (6, 2)$, we have $r \in \{3, 5, 7, 11\}$, and $\nu_r(|L|) \leq 6 < 21 = e(L)$. Now let $n > 6$.

Then $\log_2(q^n) < q^{\frac{n}{2}}$ and $n < 2^{\frac{n}{2}}$, and so

$$\nu_r(|L|) < 2q^{\frac{n}{2}} + 2^{\frac{n}{2}} - 1 < 3q^{\frac{n}{2}} - \frac{2}{3} = \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{9}{2} q^{\frac{n}{2}} - 1 \right) < \frac{2}{3} \left(q^{\frac{2n+9}{4}} - 1 \right) < \frac{q}{q+1} (q^{n-1} - 1) \leq e(L).$$

Case 5. Assume that $L = \text{P}\Omega_{2m}^\epsilon(q)$, where $\epsilon = \pm$, $m > 3$ and $(m, q, \epsilon) \neq (4, 2, +)$. Then

$$e(L) = (q^{m-1} - 1)(q^{m-2} + 1), (q^{m-1} - 1)q^{m-2} \text{ or } (q^{m-1} + 1)(q^{m-2} - 1);$$

in particular, $e(L) > 3q^{m-2}$. Since $|R|$ is a divisor of $\Lambda_m(q^2)$, we have $\nu_r(|L|) = \nu_r(|R|) \leq \nu_r(\Lambda_m(q^2))$. Since $q^2 \neq 3$, by (1) of Corollary 2.2, $\nu_r(|L|) < m \log_2(q^2) + m - 1 = 2 \log_2(q^m) + m - 1$. Noting that $q^m \geq 16$ and $m > 3$, we have $\log_2(q^m) \leq q^{\frac{m}{2}}$ and $m \leq 2^{\frac{m}{2}}$, and then

$$\nu_r(|L|) < 2 \log_2(q^m) + m - 1 \leq 3q^{\frac{m}{2}} - 1 < 3q^{m-2} < e(L).$$

Case 6. Assume that $L = \Omega_{2m+1}(q)$, where q is odd, $m > 2$ and $(m, q) \neq (3, 3)$. Then $e(L) = q^{m-1}(q^{m-1} - 1)$ or $q^{2m-2} - 1$. Since $|R|$ is a divisor of $\Lambda_m(q^2)$, we have $\nu_r(|L|) = \nu_r(|R|) \leq \nu_r(\Lambda_m(q^2))$. By (1) of Corollary 2.2, $\nu_r(|L|) < m \log_2(q^2) + m - 1 = 2 \log_2(q^m) + m - 1$. Since $m > 2$, we have $m < 3^{\frac{m}{2}}$. Noting that $q^m \geq 27$, we have $\log_2 q^m < q^{\frac{m}{2}}$, and thus

$$\nu_r(|L|) < 2 \log_2 q^m + m - 1 < 2q^{\frac{m}{2}} + 3^{\frac{m}{2}} - 1 \leq 3q^{\frac{m}{2}} - 1 \leq q^{\frac{m+2}{2}} - 1 < e(L).$$

Case 7. Assume that L is an exceptional simple group of Lie type. Then $|R|$ is a divisor of $\Lambda_m(q^2)$ with m listed as follows:

L	$\text{G}_2(q)$	$\text{F}_4(q)$	$\text{E}_6(q)$	$\text{E}_7(q)$	$\text{E}_8(q)$	${}^2\text{B}_2(q)$	${}^2\text{G}_2(q)$	${}^2\text{F}_4(q)$	${}^3\text{D}_4(q)$	${}^2\text{E}_6(q)$
m	3	6	9	9	15	2	3	6	6	9

Noting that $q^2 \neq 3$, by (1) of Corollary 2.2, $\nu_r(|L|) < m \log_2(q^2) + 2 \leq 2mq + m - 1$. Comparing $2mq + m - 1$ and the values of $e(L)$ given in [17, page 188, Table 5.3.A], we have $\nu_r(|L|) < e(L)$, the details are omitted here. \square

3. SIMPLE SUBGROUPS IN EXTENSIONS OF A SIMPLE GROUP

Let X and Y be groups. Denote by $X.Y$ an extension of X by Y , while $X:Y$ stands for a split extension. By $X \leq Y$, $X \trianglelefteq Y$, $X \text{ char } Y$ and $X \lesssim Y$ we mean that X is a subgroup, a normal subgroup, a characteristic subgroup and isomorphic to a subgroup of Y , respectively. When $X \leq Y$ or $X \trianglelefteq Y$ but $X \neq Y$, we write $X < Y$ or $X \triangleleft Y$, respectively. We call X a section of Y if X is isomorphic a quotient group of some subgroup of Y . The automorphism group and inner automorphism group of X are denoted by $\text{Aut}(X)$ and $\text{Inn}(X)$, respectively, and let $\text{Out}(X) = \text{Aut}(X)/\text{Inn}(X)$. As a consequence of the *Classification of Finite Simple Groups*, the *Schreier Conjecture* is true, see [7, Appendix A] for example. Thus, if X is a finite simple group then $\text{Out}(X)$ is solvable. In addition, $\text{Inn}(X) \cong X/\mathbf{Z}(X)$, where $\mathbf{Z}(X)$ is the center of X .

In the following, N is assumed to be a finite group. For $Y, X \leq N$, denote by $\mathbf{C}_X(Y)$ and $\mathbf{N}_X(Y)$ the centralizer and normalizer of Y in X , respectively. Clearly, $\mathbf{C}_X(Y) = \mathbf{C}_N(Y) \cap X$ and $\mathbf{N}_X(Y) = \mathbf{N}_N(Y) \cap X$. It is easily shown that both $\mathbf{C}_X(Y)$ and $\mathbf{N}_X(Y)$ are normal (or characteristic) subgroups of N provided that X and Y are normal (or characteristic) in N .

Lemma 3.1. *Assume that $K \trianglelefteq N$ and N/K is a nonabelian simple group. Suppose that $|K|^2$ divides $|N|$. Then one of the following holds:*

- (1) $N \cong K \times K$;
- (2) $K \text{ char } N$ and $N = KC$, where $C \text{ char } N$, $C = C'$ and $\text{rad}(C) = K \cap C$.

Proof. Assume first that $K^\sigma \neq K$ for some $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(N)$. Clearly, $K^\sigma \trianglelefteq N^\sigma = N$, and so $K^\sigma K/K \trianglelefteq N/K$. Since N/K is simple, we have $N/K = (K^\sigma K)/K \cong K^\sigma/(K \cap K^\sigma)$. In particular, $|N| = |K||K^\sigma : (K \cap K^\sigma)|$. Noting that $|K|^2$ divides $|N|$, it follows that $K \cap K^\sigma = 1$ and $N = KK^\sigma = K \times K^\sigma$. Then part (1) of this lemma follows.

Now let $K \text{ char } N$. Choose a minimal member C among those characteristic subgroups of N with $N = KC$. Then $N/K = KC/K \cong C/(K \cap C)$, and $N/K = (N/K)' = (KC')/K$. In particular, $N = KC'$, and so $C = C'$ by the choice of C . We next show that $K \cap C$ is solvable. Note that $(K \cap C) \text{ char } N$.

Suppose that $K \cap C$ is insolvable. Choose $I, J \text{ char } (K \cap C)$ with $I < J$ and $J/I \cong T^l$, where $l \geq 1$ and T is a nonabelian simple group. Clearly, $I, J \text{ char } N$, and $\mathbf{C}_{C/I}(J/I) \cap (J/I) = 1$. Set $C_1/I = \mathbf{C}_{C/I}(J/I)$. Then $C_1 \text{ char } N$, $C_1 < C$, and $N \neq KC_1$ by the choice of C . Since N/K is simple, we have $(KC_1)/K = 1$, and so $C_1 \leq K \cap C$. Considering the action of C/I on J/I by conjugation, we have

$$C/(C_1J) \cong (C/I)/(C_1J/I) \lesssim \text{Out}(T^l) = \text{Out}(T)^l : S_l,$$

where S_l is the symmetric group of degree l . Note that

$$N/K = KC/K \cong C/(K \cap C) \cong (C/(C_1J))/((K \cap C)/(C_1J)).$$

It follows that N/K is a section of $\text{Out}(T)^l : S_l$. Noting that $\text{Out}(T)$ is solvable, it follows that N/K is a section of S_l , and so $|N/K|$ divides $l!$. Since $|K|^2$ divides $|N|$, we conclude that $|T|^l$ divides $|N/K|$, and thus $|T|^l$ divides $l!$. Then, for a prime divisor r of $|T|$, we have $l \leq \nu_r(|T|^l) \leq \nu_r(l!)$. By Legendre's formula, $\nu_r(l!) = \frac{l - s_r(l)}{r-1} \leq l-1$, and so $l \leq l-1$, a contradiction. Then $K \cap C$ is solvable, and part (2) of this lemma is true. \square

For a finite group X , denote by $X^{(\infty)}$ the intersection of all subgroups appearing in the derived series of X .

Lemma 3.2. *Assume that N contains a normal subgroup $I \cong \mathbb{Z}_r^k$ and a nonabelian simple subgroup T such that r^k is a divisor of $|T|$, where r is a prime and $k \geq 1$. Suppose that N/I is a covering group of some simple group L . Then either $N = \mathbf{C}_N(I)$, or $\mathbf{C}_N(I) \leq \text{rad}(N)$, $T \lesssim N/\mathbf{C}_N(I) \lesssim \text{SL}_k(r)$ and one of the following holds:*

- (1) $N = I:T = \mathbb{Z}_2^k : A_{2^e}$, where $e \geq 3$, and either $k = 2^e - 2$ or $e = 3$ and $k \in \{4, 5\}$;
- (2) either $N = I:T \cong \text{AGL}_3(2)$, or $N = I:T = \mathbb{Z}_2^6 : \text{PSP}_4(3) \lesssim \text{AGL}_6(2)$;
- (3) L is a simple group of Lie type over a finite field of characteristic 2, $N \neq I:T = \mathbb{Z}_2^k : A_{2^e}$, where $e \geq 3$, and either $k = 2^e - 2$ or $k \in \{4, 5\}$ and $e = 3$;
- (4) T and L are simple groups of Lie type over finite fields of characteristic r .

Proof. Note that $\mathbf{C}_N(I)/I \trianglelefteq N/I$. Since N/I is quasisimple, either $\mathbf{C}_N(I)/I \leq \mathbf{Z}(N/I)$ or $\mathbf{C}_N(I)/I = N/I$, refer to [1, page 157, (31.2)]. For the latter, we have $N = \mathbf{C}_N(I)$. Thus we assume that $\mathbf{C}_N(I)/I \leq \mathbf{Z}(N/I)$. In particular, $\mathbf{C}_N(I) \leq \text{rad}(N)$.

Now consider the action of N on I by conjugation, and let \widehat{N} be the resulting subgroup of $\text{Aut}(I)$. We have $\widehat{N} \cong N/\mathbf{C}_N(I) \cong (N/I)/(\mathbf{C}_N(I)/I)$. Then \widehat{N} is a covering group of L , and N/I is a central extension of \widehat{N} . Let \widehat{T} be the image of T in \widehat{N} . Since $T \cap \text{rad}(N) = 1$, we have $\widehat{T} \cong T\mathbf{C}_N(I)/\mathbf{C}_N(I) \cong T$, and so

$T \lesssim \widehat{N} \lesssim \mathrm{SL}_k(r)$. Since r^k is a divisor of $|T|$, noting that $T \cong \mathrm{Trad}(N)/\mathrm{rad}(N) \leq N/\mathrm{rad}(N) \cong L$, we have $k \leq \nu_r(|T|) \leq \nu_r(|L|)$. Further, if $T \cong L$ then $N = \mathrm{rad}(N):T$ and $N/I = (\mathrm{rad}(N)/I):(TI/I)$, since N/I is a covering group of $L \cong TI/I$, we have $N/I = (N/I)^{(\infty)} = TI/I$, yielding $\mathrm{rad}(N)/I = 1$, and so $I = \mathrm{rad}(N)$, and $L \cong T \cong TI/I = N/\mathbf{C}_N(I) \cong \widehat{N}$.

Case 1. Assume that $L \cong A_n$ for some $n \geq 5$. Then

$$k \leq \nu_r(|L|) = \nu_r\left(\frac{n!}{2}\right) = \nu_r(n!) - (2 - (2, r - 1)).$$

By Legendre's formula, we have $k \leq \frac{n-s_r(n)}{r-1} - (2 - (2, r - 1))$. On the other hand, since $\widehat{N} \lesssim \mathrm{SL}_k(r)$, a lower bound for k is given by [17, Propositions 5.3.2 and 5.3.7].

Suppose that $n \leq 8$. Check the subgroups of A_n with order divisible by r^k for all possible values of k . Using GAP [29], computation shows that $T \cong L = A_8$, $r = 2$ and $k \in \{4, 5, 6\}$. Then $N = I:T$, desired as in (1) of this lemma.

Now let $n \geq 9$. Then $k \geq n - 2$ by [17, page 186, Proposition 5.3.7], and thus $n - 2 \leq k \leq \frac{n-s_r(n)}{r-1} - (2 - (2, r - 1))$. It follows that $k = n - 2$, $r = 2$, n is a power of 2, and $\frac{|L|}{2^k}$ is odd. In particular, T is isomorphic to a simple subgroup of A_n with odd index. By [18, Theorem 1.2], we have $T \cong L = A_n$, and thus $N = \mathbb{Z}_2^{n-2}:A_n$ as in (1).

Case 2. Assume that L is one of the 26 sporadic simple groups. Then the lower bound for k is given as in [17, page 187, Proposition 5.3.8]. Checking the orders of sporadic simple groups, we conclude that $r = 2$ and one of the following holds: $L = M_{12}$ with $k = 6$, $L = M_{22}$ with $k \in \{6, 7\}$, $L = J_2$ with $k \in \{6, 7\}$, $L = \mathrm{Suz}$ with $k \in \{12, 13\}$. Recall that $\widehat{N} \lesssim \mathrm{SL}_k(2)$ and \widehat{N} is a covering group of L . Then $|L|$ is a divisor of $|\mathrm{SL}_k(2)|$, and so $|L : Q|$ is a divisor of $\Lambda_k(2)$, where Q is a Sylow 2-subgroup of L . If $k \in \{6, 7\}$ then $\Lambda_k(2)$ is not divisible by 5^2 or 11, and thus $L \neq M_{12}, M_{22}$ or J_2 . This forces that $L = \mathrm{Suz}$ and $k \in \{12, 13\}$. By [23, Corollary 4.3], since $\widehat{N} \lesssim \mathrm{SL}_k(2)$, we have $|\mathrm{Suz}| \leq |\widehat{N}| < 2^{2k+4} \leq 2^{30}$, which is impossible.

Case 3. Assume that L is a simple group of Lie type over a finite field of characteristic p , and $L \not\cong A_n$ for any $n \geq 5$.

Subcase 3.1. Suppose first that $r \neq p$. Recalling that $\widehat{N} \lesssim \mathrm{SL}_k(r)$, by [17, Proposition 5.3.2 and Theorem 5.3.9], $k \geq e(L)$, where $e(L)$ is given as in [17, Table 5.3.A]. Then $e(L) \leq k \leq \nu_r(|T|) \leq \nu_r(|L|)$. Thus L appears in the exceptions listed in Lemma 2.3. Note that $|L|$ is a divisor of $|\mathrm{SL}_k(r)|$; in particular, $|L : Q|$ is a divisor of $\Lambda_k(r)$, where Q is a Sylow r -subgroup of L . In view this, the groups in (1), (2), (4) and (5) of Lemma 2.3 are easily excluded.

Assume that L is described as in (3), (6) or (7) of Lemma 2.3. Checking simple subgroups of L with order divisible by r^k , we conclude that $L \cong T \lesssim \mathrm{SL}_k(r)$, and thus $N = I:T$. For (3) of Lemma 2.3, we have $r = 3$ and $k = 4$; however, computation using GAP shows that $\mathrm{SL}_4(3)$ has no subgroup isomorphic to $\mathrm{PSU}_4(2)$. For (6) of Lemma 2.3, we have $r = 2$, $k = 3$ and $L = \mathrm{PSL}_2(7) \cong \mathrm{GL}_3(2)$. For (7) of Lemma 2.3, we have $r = 2$, $k = 6$ and $L = \mathrm{PSP}_4(3)$. Then part (2) of this lemma follows.

Subcase 3.2. Now let $r = p$. Assume that T is an alternating group or a sporadic simple group. Similarly as Cases 1 and 2, we have $r = 2$, $T \cong A_{2^e}$ for some $e \geq 3$, and either $k = 2^e - 2$ or $k \in \{4, 5\}$ and $e = 3$. This gives part (3) of this lemma.

Assume that T is a simple group of Lie type over a finite field of characteristic p' . If $p' = r$ then part (4) of this lemma occurs. Now let $r \neq p'$. Then, by Lemma 2.3,

T and r are known. By a similar argument as in the case where $r \neq p$, we conclude that N is desired as in part (2) of this lemma. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let N be a perfect group with $L := N/\text{rad}(N)$ simple. Assume that N contains a nonabelian simple subgroup T such that $|\text{rad}(N)|$ is a divisor of $|T|$. Then $N/\mathbf{O}_r(N)$ is a covering group of L for some prime divisor r of $|T|$, and either N is a covering group of L or one of the following holds:*

- (1) $N = \text{rad}(N)T = [2^k]:\text{A}_8$ or $\mathbb{Z}_2^{n-2}:\text{A}_n$, where $k \in \{4, 5, 6\}$ and $n = 2^m$ for some integer $m \geq 4$;
- (2) $N = IT = \mathbb{Z}_2^3:\text{PSL}_3(2) \cong \text{AGL}_3(2)$ or $N = IT = \mathbb{Z}_2^6:\text{PSP}_4(3) \lesssim \text{AGL}_6(2)$;
- (3) L is a simple group of Lie type over a finite field of characteristic 2, $L \not\cong T$, and $\mathbf{O}_r(N)T = [2^k]:\text{A}_8$ or $\mathbb{Z}_2^{n-2}:\text{A}_n$, where k and n are as in part (1);
- (4) T and L are simple groups of Lie type with characteristic r .

Proof. Let $K = \text{rad}(N)$, and choose $J \text{ char } K$ such that N/J is a covering group of L with maximal order as possible. If $J = 1$ then the lemma is true. Thus we assume that $J \neq 1$ in the following.

Let $J_0 \text{ char } J$ with $J/J_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}_r^k$ for some prime r and integer $k \geq 1$. Then Lemma 3.2 works for N/J_0 , J/J_0 and TJ_0/J_0 . Suppose that $N/J_0 = \mathbf{C}_{N/J_0}(J/J_0)$. Then N/J_0 is a perfect central extension of N/J . It follows that N/J_0 is a perfect central extension of L , refer to [1, page 167, (33.5)]. Thus N/J_0 is a covering group of L , which contradicts the choice of J . Therefore, $N/J_0 \neq \mathbf{C}_{N/J_0}(J/J_0)$. Let $\bar{N} = N/J_0$, $\bar{T} = TJ_0/J_0$ and $\bar{J} = J/J_0$. Then $T \cong \bar{T} \lesssim \bar{N}/\mathbf{C}_{\bar{N}}(\bar{J}) \lesssim \text{SL}_k(r)$, $\bar{N}/\bar{J} \cong N/J$ and one of the following holds:

- (i) $\bar{N} = \bar{J}\bar{T} = \mathbb{Z}_2^k:\text{A}_n$, where $n = 2^m$ for some $m \geq 3$, and either $k = n - 2$ or $k \in \{4, 5\}$ with $n = 8$;
- (ii) $\bar{N} = \bar{J}\bar{T} = \mathbb{Z}_2^3:\text{PSL}_3(2)$ or $\mathbb{Z}_2^6:\text{PSP}_4(3)$ with $k = 3$ or 6 , respectively;
- (iii) L is a simple group of Lie type over a finite field of characteristic 2, $\bar{J}\bar{T} = \mathbb{Z}_2^k:\text{A}_n$, where $n = 2^m$ for some $m \geq 3$, and either $k = n - 2$ or $k \in \{4, 5\}$ with $n = 8$;
- (iv) \bar{T} and L are simple groups of Lie type over finite fields of characteristic r .

Case 1. Suppose that J is an r -group. Then $N/\mathbf{O}_r(N) \cong (N/J)/(\mathbf{O}_r(N)/J)$, and so $N/\mathbf{O}_r(N)$ is a covering group of L . For (iv), we get part (4) of this lemma. Assume that one of (i)-(iii) holds, in particular, $r = 2$. Then $\mathbb{Z}_2^k \cong \bar{J} = J/J_0 = \mathbf{O}_2(\bar{N}) = \mathbf{O}_2(N)/J_0$, and so $|\mathbf{O}_2(N)| = 2^k|J_0| = |J|$. Note that $\nu_2(|\text{A}_n|) = n - 2$, $\nu_2(|\text{PSL}_3(2)|) = 3$ and $\nu_2(|\text{PSP}_4(3)|) = 6$. It follows that either $\nu_2(|T|) = k$, or $T = \text{A}_8$ and $k \in \{4, 5\}$. Since $|\mathbf{O}_2(N)|$ is a divisor of $|T|$, we conclude that either $|\mathbf{O}_2(N)| = 2^k$, yielding $J_0 = 1$ and $\mathbf{O}_2(N) = J \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^k$, or $T \cong \text{A}_8$ and $2^4 \leq |\mathbf{O}_2(N)| \leq 2^6$. Then one of (1)-(3) of this lemma holds.

Case 2. Suppose that J is not an r -group. Let $I = \mathbf{O}^r(J)$, the normal subgroup of J such that J/I is an r -group with maximal order. Then $1 \neq I \text{ char } N$. Choose $I_0 \text{ char } I$ such that $I/I_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^l$ for some prime p and integer $l \geq 1$. By the choice of I , we have $r \neq p$. Assume that $TI_0/I_0 \leq \mathbf{C}_{N/I_0}(I/I_0)$. Since $(N/I_0)/(K/I_0)$ is simple and N/I_0 is perfect, we have $N/I_0 = (K/I_0)\mathbf{C}_{N/I_0}(I/I_0) = \mathbf{C}_{N/I_0}(I/I_0)$. In particular, I/I_0 lies in the center of J/I_0 . Then $J/I_0 = \mathbf{O}_r(J/I_0) \times I/I_0$. Setting $\mathbf{O}_r(J/I_0) = J_1/I_0$, we have

$$N/J_1 \cong (N/I_0)/(J_1/I_0) = \mathbf{C}_{(N/I_0)/((J_1/I_0))}((I/I_0)(J_1/I_0)/(J_1/I_0)) \cong \mathbf{C}_{N/J_1}(J/J_1).$$

Thus N/J_1 is a perfect central extension of N/J . It follows that N/J_1 is a perfect central extension of L , which contradicts the choice of J . Therefore, $TI_0/I_0 \not\cong \mathbf{C}_{N/I_0}(I/I_0)$, and so $TI_0/I_0 \not\cong \mathbf{C}_{TI/I_0}(I/I_0)$. We have $T \cong TI_0/I_0 \lesssim \mathrm{SL}_l(p)$.

Now consider the group $TI/I_0 = (I/I_0):(TI_0/I_0)$. Applying Lemma 3.2 to the triple $(TI/I_0, TI_0/I_0, I/I_0)$, we conclude that one of the following holds:

- (v) $p = 2$ and TI_0/I_0 is isomorphic to one of A_{2^e} , $\mathrm{PSL}_3(2)$ and $\mathrm{PSp}_4(3)$;
- (vi) T is isomorphic to a simple group of Lie type with characteristic p .

Assume first that p is odd. Then T is isomorphic to a simple group of Lie type with characteristic p . Recall that either $r = 2$ and T is one of A_{2^m} , $\mathrm{PSL}_3(2)$ and $\mathrm{PSp}_4(3)$, or T is a simple group of Lie type with characteristic r , see (i)-(iv) above. It follows from [17, Proposition 2.9.1 and Theorem 5.1.1] that $r = 2$, and (T, p) is one of $(\mathrm{PSL}_2(4), 5)$, $(\mathrm{PSL}_3(2), 7)$, $(\mathrm{Sp}_4(2)', 3)$, $(\mathrm{PSU}_4(2), 3)$, $(\mathrm{PSL}_2(8), 3)$ and $(\mathrm{G}_2(2)', 3)$. Noting that $r^k p^l$ is a divisor of $|T|$, it follows that none of these groups satisfies both $T \lesssim \mathrm{SL}_k(r)$ and $T \lesssim \mathrm{SL}_l(p)$, a contradiction. Now let $p = 2$. Then r is odd as $r \neq p$, and so T is a simple group of Lie type over a finite field of characteristic r , which leads to a similar contradiction as above. This completes the proof. \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

In this section, we assume that $\Gamma = (V, E)$ is a connected G -arc-transitive graph of valency $d \geq 3$, and either d is a prime or Γ is $(G, 2)$ -arc-transitive. For $\alpha \in V$, let $G_\alpha = \{g \in G \mid \alpha^g = \alpha\}$ and $\Gamma(\alpha) = \{\beta \in V \mid \{\alpha, \beta\} \in E\}$, called the *stabilizer* and *neighborhood* of α in G and in Γ , respectively. Then Γ is $(G, 2)$ -arc-transitive if and only if G_α acts 2-transitively on $\Gamma(\alpha)$. Denote by $G_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)}$ the permutation group induced by G_α on $\Gamma(\alpha)$. Then either $G_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)}$ is 2-transitive on $\Gamma(\alpha)$, or d is a prime and $G_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)} \leq \mathrm{AGL}_1(d)$, refer to [7, page 99, Corollary 3.5B]. In particular, by [7, page 107, Theorem 4.1B], the socle $\mathrm{soc}(G_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)})$ is either simple or regular on $\Gamma(\alpha)$, and thus $\mathrm{soc}(G_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)})$ is the unique minimal normal subgroup of $G_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)}$. In addition, $\mathbf{C}_{G_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)}}(\mathrm{soc}(G_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)})) = 1$ or $\mathrm{soc}(G_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)})$ by [7, page 114, Theorem 4.3B].

We shall proceed by analyzing the actions on V of normal subgroups of the group G . Let $N \trianglelefteq G$. By [28, Theorem 4.1], only one of the following holds:

- (I) Γ is a bipartite graph, and the N -orbits are the two parts of the bipartition;
- (II) N is semiregular and has at least three orbits on V , in particular, $|N|$ is a proper divisor of $|V|$;
- (III) N is transitive on V ; in this case, if K is an intransitive normal subgroup of N and N_α acts primitively on $\Gamma(\alpha)$ then (I) or (II) holds for Γ with G and N replaced by N and K , respectively.

In particular, if $N_\alpha \neq 1$ for some $\alpha \in V$ then N has at most two orbits on V .

Lemma 4.1. *Assume that $N \trianglelefteq G$ and $N_\alpha \neq 1$, where $\alpha \in V$. Then N has at most two orbits on V , N_α acts transitively on $\Gamma(\alpha)$, $\mathrm{soc}(N_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)}) = \mathrm{soc}(G_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)})$, and one of the following holds:*

- (1) N_α acts 2-transitively on $\Gamma(\alpha)$;
- (2) N_α acts primitively on $\Gamma(\alpha)$, and either
 - (i) $d = 28$, $N_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)} = \mathrm{PSL}_2(8)$, $G_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)} = \mathrm{P}\Gamma\mathrm{L}_2(8)$; or
 - (ii) $d = p^2$, $\mathbb{Z}_p^2:\mathrm{SL}_2(5) \trianglelefteq N_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)} \trianglelefteq G_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)} \trianglelefteq \mathbb{Z}_p^2:(\mathbb{Z}_{p-1}:\mathrm{PSL}_2(5))$, where $p \in \{19, 29, 59\}$;

- (3) $d = p^k$, $N_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)} = \mathbb{Z}_p^k:H$, where H is solvable and acts faithfully and semiregularly on $\mathbb{Z}_p^k \setminus \{1\}$ by conjugation, where p is a prime and $k \geq 1$.

Proof. Since $N_\alpha \neq 1$, by [20, Lemma 2.5], N has at most two orbits on V , and N_α acts transitively on $\Gamma(\alpha)$. Note that $N_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)}$ is a transitive normal subgroup of $G_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)}$. Since $\text{soc}(N_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)})$ is a characteristic subgroup of $N_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)}$, we have $\text{soc}(N_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)}) \trianglelefteq G_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)}$, and so $\text{soc}(N_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)}) \cap \text{soc}(G_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)}) \trianglelefteq G_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)}$. Recall that $\text{soc}(G_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)})$ is the unique minimal normal subgroup of $G_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)}$. We have $\text{soc}(N_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)}) \geq \text{soc}(G_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)})$. Let K be an arbitrary minimal normal subgroup of $N_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)}$. Since $\text{soc}(G_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)}) \cap K \trianglelefteq N_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)}$, we have either $K \leq \text{soc}(G_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)})$ or $K \cap \text{soc}(G_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)}) = 1$. The latter case implies that $K \leq \mathbf{C}_{G_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)}}(\text{soc}(G_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)})) = 1$ or $\text{soc}(G_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)})$, a contradiction. Thus $K \leq \text{soc}(G_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)})$. It follows that $\text{soc}(N_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)}) \leq \text{soc}(G_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)})$, and so $\text{soc}(N_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)}) = \text{soc}(G_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)})$.

Now we show that one of (1)-(3) holds. If $G_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)}$ is not 2-transitive, then d is a prime, and part (3) occurs with $k = 1$, refer to [7, Corollary 3.5B]. Thus assume that $G_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)}$ is 2-transitive. By [1, page 191, (35.25)] and [7, page 215, Theorem 7.2C], either $N_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)}$ is a primitive subgroup of $G_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)}$, or $N_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)} = K:H$ with $K = \text{soc}(G_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^k$ and H acting semiregularly on $K \setminus \{1\}$ by conjugation, where p is a prime and $k \geq 2$. Then the lemma follows from checking one by one the 2-transitive permutation groups listed in [3, pages 195-197, Tables 7.3 and 7.4], see also [22, Corollary 2.5]. \square

Let $N \trianglelefteq G$. For $\alpha \in V$, let $N_\alpha^{[1]}$ be the kernel of N_α acting on $\Gamma(\alpha)$. Then $N_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)} \cong N_\alpha/N_\alpha^{[1]}$. Let $\beta \in \Gamma(\alpha)$. We have $(N_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)})_\beta = (N_{\alpha\beta})^{\Gamma(\alpha)} \cong N_{\alpha\beta}/N_\alpha^{[1]}$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $N \trianglelefteq G$ and $\{\alpha, \beta\} \in E$. Then every insoluble composition factor of N_α is (isomorphic to) an insoluble composition factor of either $N_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)}$ or $(N_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)})_\beta$. In particular, N_α is solvable if and only if $N_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)}$ is solvable.*

Proof. Pick $x \in G$ with $(\alpha, \beta)^x = (\beta, \alpha)$. Then

$$\Gamma(\alpha)^x = \Gamma(\beta), N_\beta = x^{-1}N_\alpha x, N_\beta^{[1]} = x^{-1}N_\alpha^{[1]}x \text{ and } N_{\alpha\beta} = x^{-1}N_{\alpha\beta}x.$$

It follows that

$$(N_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)})_\beta \cong N_{\alpha\beta}/N_\alpha^{[1]} \cong N_{\alpha\beta}/N_\beta^{[1]} \cong (N_{\alpha\beta})^{\Gamma(\beta)} = (N_\beta^{\Gamma(\beta)})_\alpha.$$

Noting that $N_\alpha^{[1]} \trianglelefteq N_{\alpha\beta}$, we have $(N_\alpha^{[1]})^{\Gamma(\beta)} \trianglelefteq (N_{\alpha\beta})^{\Gamma(\beta)} = (N_\beta^{\Gamma(\beta)})_\alpha$. Put $N_\alpha^{[1]} = N_\alpha^{[1]} \cap N_\beta^{[1]}$. Then $(N_\alpha^{[1]})^{\Gamma(\beta)} \cong N_\alpha^{[1]}N_\beta^{[1]}/N_\beta^{[1]} \cong N_\alpha^{[1]}/N_{\alpha\beta}^{[1]}$. Thus,

$$(4.1) \quad N_\alpha^{[1]}/N_{\alpha\beta}^{[1]} \cong (N_\alpha^{[1]})^{\Gamma(\beta)} \trianglelefteq (N_\beta^{\Gamma(\beta)})_\alpha \cong (N_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)})_\beta.$$

By [14, Corollary 2.3], $G_{\alpha\beta}^{[1]}$ has a prime power order. Then $G_{\alpha\beta}^{[1]}$ is solvable, and so is $N_{\alpha\beta}^{[1]}$. Recalling that $N_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)} \cong N_\alpha/N_\alpha^{[1]}$, the lemma follows from (4.1). \square

Let $N \triangleleft G$, and suppose that N has at least three orbits on V . Set $V_N = \{\alpha^N \mid \alpha \in V\}$. Define the quotient graph $\Gamma_{G/N}$ with vertex set V_N and edge set $E_N := \{\{\alpha^N, \beta^N\} \mid \{\alpha, \beta\} \in E\}$. For $X \leq G$, let X^{V_N} be the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_N)$ induced by X . By [28, Theorem 4.1], N is semiregular on V , and N is the kernel of G acting on V_N . Then $X^{V_N} \cong NX/N \cong X/(X \cap N)$. Further, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $N \triangleleft G$ and $X \leq G$. Assume that N has at least three orbits on V . Then the following statements hold:*

- (1) $X^{V_N} \cong NX/N$, N is semiregular on V , and $\Gamma_{G/N}$ has valency d ; in particular, $|N|$ is a proper divisor of $|V|$; and
- (2) $(NX)_\alpha \cong (X^{V_N})_{\alpha^N} \cong X_{\alpha^N}/(N \cap X)$, and if X is transitive on V then $|N|$ is a divisor of $|(X^{V_N})_{\alpha^N}||N \cap X|$; and
- (3) $\Gamma_{G/N}$ is $(X^{V_N}, 2)$ -arc-transitive if and only if Γ is $(NX, 2)$ -arc-transitive; and
- (4) $\Gamma_{G/N}$ is $(G^{V_N}, 2)$ -arc-transitive, or d is a prime and $\Gamma_{G/N}$ is G^{V_N} -arc-transitive.

Proof. In view of [28, Theorem 4.1], we need only prove (2). Noting that $(NX)_{\alpha^N} = NX_{\alpha^N}$ and $N \cap X_{\alpha^N} = N \cap X$, we have $(X^{V_N})_{\alpha^N} \cong NX_{\alpha^N}/N \cong X_{\alpha^N}/(N \cap X)$. Since $(NX)_{\alpha^N} = N(NX)_\alpha$, we get

$$(NX)_\alpha \cong N(NX)_\alpha/N = (NX)_{\alpha^N}/N \cong (X^{V_N})_{\alpha^N} \cong X_{\alpha^N}/(N \cap X).$$

If X is transitive on V then $NX = X(NX)_\alpha$, and so

$$|N : N \cap X| = |NX : X| = |X(NX)_\alpha : X| = |(NX)_\alpha : X_\alpha|,$$

yielding $|N| = |(NX)_\alpha : X_\alpha||N \cap X| = \frac{|(X^{V_N})_{\alpha^N}||N \cap X|}{|X_\alpha|}$. Thus (2) holds. \square

Lemma 4.4. *Let $K, N \trianglelefteq G$ and $I = K \cap N$. Assume that K has at least three orbits on V , and N is transitive on V . Then K/I is a homomorphic image of $(N^{V_K})_{\alpha^K}$.*

Proof. For $X \leq G$, let $\bar{X} = XI/I$, and identify \bar{X} with a subgroup of $\mathbf{Aut}(\Gamma_{G/I})$. Then Lemma 4.3 (1) and (4) work for the triples (Γ, G, I) and $(\Gamma_{G/I}, \bar{G}, \bar{K})$. Let $\alpha \in V$ and $\bar{\alpha} = \alpha^I$. Then \bar{K} is regular on $\bar{\alpha}^{\bar{K}}$, and $\bar{N}_{\bar{\alpha}^{\bar{K}}}$ acts transitively on $\bar{\alpha}^{\bar{K}}$. Noting that $(\bar{K}\bar{N})_{\bar{\alpha}^{\bar{K}}} = \bar{K}\bar{N}_{\bar{\alpha}^{\bar{K}}} = \bar{K} \times \bar{N}_{\bar{\alpha}^{\bar{K}}}$, it follows from [7, Theorem 4.2A] that $\bar{N}_{\bar{\alpha}^{\bar{K}}}$ induces a regular permutation group isomorphic to \bar{K} on $\bar{\alpha}^{\bar{K}}$. Then $\bar{N}_{\bar{\alpha}^{\bar{K}}}$ has a quotient group isomorphic to \bar{K} . Clearly, α^K equals to the union of I -orbits involved in $\bar{\alpha}^{\bar{K}}$. It follows that $\bar{N}_{\bar{\alpha}^{\bar{K}}} = N_{\alpha^K}/I$. Then

$$\bar{N}_{\bar{\alpha}^{\bar{K}}} \cong \bar{K}\bar{N}_{\bar{\alpha}^{\bar{K}}}/\bar{K} = (K/I)(N_{\alpha^K}/I)/(K/I) \cong KN_{\alpha^K}/K \cong (N^{V_K})_{\alpha^K},$$

and the lemma follows. \square

Recall that a permutation group is quasiprimitive if its minimal normal subgroups are all transitive.

Lemma 4.5. *The group G has at most one transitive minimal normal subgroup.*

Proof. Suppose that G has distinct transitive minimal normal subgroups M and N . Then $M \cap N = 1$, and so M and N centralize each other. Thus M and N are nonabelian and regular on V , and $\mathbf{C}_G(N) = M$, refer to [7, pp.108-109, Lemma 4.2A and Theorem 4.2A]. In particular, M and N are the only minimal normal subgroups of G . Then G is quasiprimitive on V . By [27, Theorem 2], Γ is not $(G, 2)$ -transitive; otherwise, G should have a unique minimal normal subgroup. Thus d is a prime and $G_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)}$ is solvable, and hence G_α is solvable by Lemma 4.2, where $\alpha \in V$. Set $X = MN$. Then $X = MX_\alpha$, and we have $N \cong X/M = MX_\alpha/M \cong X_\alpha$. Thus X_α and hence G_α is insolvable, a contradiction. This completes the proof. \square

By Lemma 4.5, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 4.6. *Assume that G contains a transitive simple subgroup T . If T is normal in a normal subgroup of G then T is normal in G .*

Proof. Let $T \trianglelefteq N \trianglelefteq G$. Then $T^g \trianglelefteq N$ for each $g \in G$. Since T is simple, both T and T^g are minimal normal subgroup of N . It follows that either $T = T^g$ or $T \cap T^g = 1$.

Suppose that $T \neq T^g$ for some $g \in G$. Then $T \cap T^g = 1$, and $TT^g = T \times T^g$. Since T is transitive on V , it follows from [7, pp.109, Theorem 4.2A] that both T and T^g are nonabelian and regular on V , and so $|T| = |V| > d$. Let $\alpha \in V$. Then $TT^g \trianglelefteq N = TN_\alpha$, and so $T^g \cong TT^g/T \trianglelefteq TN_\alpha/T \cong N_\alpha$. Thus N_α is insolvable, and so is $N_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)}$ by Lemma 4.2. Of course, $G_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)}$ is insolvable, and so $G_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)}$ is 2-transitive on $\Gamma(\alpha)$. Then Γ is $(G, 2)$ -arc-transitive, and (1) or (2) of Lemma 4.1 occurs for N .

Assume that (1) of Lemma 4.1 occurs, that is, N_α acts 2-transitively on $\Gamma(\alpha)$. Then, since N is transitive on V , we conclude that Γ is $(N, 2)$ -transitive. By Lemma 4.5, N has at most one transitive minimal normal subgroup. Noting that T and T^g are minimal normal subgroups of N , we have $T = T^g$, a contradiction.

Assume that (2) of Lemma 4.1 occurs. Recalling that N_α has a normal simple subgroup isomorphic to T^g , by Lemma 4.2, T is isomorphic to a composition factor of either $N_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)}$ or $(N_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)})_\beta$. It follows that either $d = 28$ and $T \cong \text{PSL}_2(8)$, or $d = p^2$ and $T \cong \text{PSL}_2(5)$, where $p \in \{19, 29, 59\}$. The latter case forces that $|V| = |T| = 60 < d$, a contradiction. Therefore, we let $d = 28$ and $T = \text{PSL}_2(8)$. Since T is regular on V , identifying V with T , the group N lies in the holomorph $T:\text{Aut}(T)$ of T , where T acts on V by right multiplication. Letting α be the vertex corresponding to the identity of T , we have $N_\alpha \leq \text{Aut}(T) \cong T.\mathbb{Z}_3$. Recall that N_α has a normal subgroup isomorphic to T . We conclude that $N_\alpha = \text{Inn}(T)$ or $\text{Aut}(T)$. Since $N_\alpha \neq 1$, by Lemma 4.1, $\Gamma(\alpha)$ is an N_α -orbit on V . Thus $\Gamma(\alpha)$, as a subset of T , is a conjugacy class of length 28 in T or under $\text{Aut}(T)$, which is impossible by the Atlas [6].

The argument above shows that $T = T^g$ for all $g \in G$. Then $T \trianglelefteq G$, and the result follows. \square

In the following, we always assume that G contains a transitive nonabelian simple subgroup T . Since Γ is connected and T is transitive on V , if Γ is a bipartite graph then T has a subgroup of index 2, which is impossible. Thus Γ is not bipartite. Then the next lemma follows at once from [28, Theorem 4.1], see also (I)-(III) above.

Lemma 4.7. *Assume that $N \trianglelefteq G$ and N contains a transitive nonabelian simple subgroup T . Let K be an intransitive normal subgroup of N , and $\alpha \in V$. If N_α acts primitively on $\Gamma(\alpha)$, then K is semiregular and has at least three orbits on V ; in particular, $|K|$ is a proper divisor of $|V|$ and $|T|$.*

Lemma 4.8. *Assume that G is quasiprimitive on V , and G contains a transitive nonabelian simple subgroup T . Then either $\text{soc}(G)$ is simple and $T \leq \text{soc}(G)$, or Γ is the complete graph on 8 vertices, $T \cong \text{PSL}_3(2)$ and $G \cong \text{AGL}_3(2)$.*

Proof. Let $N = \text{soc}(G)$. By Lemma 4.5, N is the unique minimal normal subgroup of G . Write $N = T_1 \times T_2 \times \cdots \times T_k$, where $k \geq 1$ and T_i are isomorphic simple groups.

Case 1. Assume first that N is abelian. Then G is primitive on V , $N \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^k$ and $G \lesssim \text{AGL}_k(p)$ for some prime p . In this case, N is regular on V and $T \lesssim \text{GL}_k(p)$, in particular, $k \geq 2$. If Γ is $(G, 2)$ -arc-transitive then $p = 2$, refer to [16, Theorem 1]. If d is an odd prime then $|N| = |V|$ is even, and so $p = 2$.

Since T is transitive on V , we have $|T : T_\alpha| = 2^k$ for $\alpha \in V$. By [15], $k \geq 3$ and either $T = \text{A}_{2^k}$, or $T = \text{PSL}_n(q)$ with $\frac{q^n-1}{q-1} = 2^k$. Note that $\text{A}_{2^k} \not\lesssim \text{GL}_k(2)$, see [17, pp. 186, Proposition 5.3.7]. Then $T \cong \text{PSL}_n(q)$, and $\frac{q^n-1}{q-1} = 2^k$. In particular, $q^n - 1$ has no primitive prime divisor. By Zsigmondy's Theorem, $n = 2$ and $q = 2^k - 1$. By [17, pp. 188, Theorem 5.3.9], we have $k \geq \frac{q-1}{(2, q-1)} = 2^{k-1} - 1$, yielding $k \leq 3$. Then

$k = 3$, $N \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^3$, $T \cong \text{PSL}_3(2)$, and $G \cong \text{AGL}_3(2)$. In particular, G is 3-transitive on V , and thus Γ is the complete graph on 8 vertices.

Case 2. Now assume that N is nonabelian. Suppose that $T \not\leq N$. Then $T \cap N = 1$, and $TN/N \cong T$. Since N is the unique minimal normal subgroup of G , we have $\mathbf{C}_G(N) = 1$, and thus T acts faithfully on $\{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_k\}$ by conjugation. Then T is isomorphic to a subgroup of the symmetric group S_k . In particular, $|T|$ is a divisor of $k!$. Noting that $G = NG_\alpha$ for $\alpha \in V$, we have $T \cong TN/N \leq G/N \cong G_\alpha/(G_\alpha \cap N)$, and so G_α is insolvable. Then Γ is $(G, 2)$ -arc-transitive, by [27, Theorem 2], G satisfies III(b)(i) or III(c) described as in [27, Section 2]. It follows that $|T_1|$ has a prime divisor p such that $|V|$ is divisible by p^k . Since T is transitive on V , it follows that p^k is a divisor of $|T|$. Thus $k!$ is divisible by p^k , and so $k \leq \nu_p(k!)$. By Legendre's formula, $\nu_p(k!) = \frac{k - s_p(k)}{p-1} \leq k - 1$, which lead to a contradiction. Therefore, $T \leq N$.

To complete the proof it remains to show that $k = 1$. Suppose on the contrary that $k > 1$, and consider the projections:

$$\phi_i : N \rightarrow T_i, x_1 \cdots x_k \mapsto x_i, x_j \in T_j, 1 \leq i, j \leq k.$$

Without loss of generality, we may let $\phi_1(T) \neq 1$. Then $T \cong \phi_1(T) \leq T_1$. Note that $T \neq N$, and so N is not regular on V . Let $\alpha \in V$. By Lemma 4.1, N_α acts transitively on $\Gamma(\alpha)$. Since N is transitive on V , we know that Γ is N -arc-transitive.

Recall that either Γ is $(G, 2)$ -arc-transitive or the valency d of Γ is a prime. Suppose that d is a prime. Then Lemma 4.5 holds for the pair (N, Γ) , and so N has at most one transitive minimal normal subgroup. Noting that $N = T_1 \times \cdots \times T_k$ with $k > 1$, it follows that every T_i is intransitive on V . Considering the quadruple (Γ, N, T, T_1) , by Lemma 4.7, $|T_1|$ is a proper divisor of $|T|$, which contradicts that $T \cong \phi_1(T) \leq T_1$. Therefore, d is not a prime, and Γ is $(G, 2)$ -arc-transitive.

Since N is not regular on V , by [27, Theorem 2], N satisfies III(b)(i) described as in [27, Section 2]. Then $N_\alpha \leq R_1 \times \cdots \times R_k$ for $\alpha \in V$, where $R_i = \phi_i(N_\alpha) < T_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$, and $R_1 \cong R_2 \cong \cdots \cong R_k$. In particular, $|N_\alpha|$ divides $|R_1|^k$. On the other hand, since $T \leq N$ and T is transitive on V , we have $N = TN_\alpha$, and so $N/T = TN_\alpha/T \cong N_\alpha/(N_\alpha \cap T)$. In particular, $|N/T|$ divides $|N_\alpha|$. Recalling that $T \lesssim T_1$ and $|N| = |T_1|^k$, it follows that $|T_1|^{k-1}$ divides $|N_\alpha|$, and hence $|T_1|^{k-1}$ divides $|R_1|^k$. Since $k > 1$, we have that $|T_1|$ divides $|R_1|^k$. Since $R_1 < T_1$, we conclude that a prime r is a divisor of $|T_1|$ if and only if r is a divisor of $|R_1|$. It follows from [24, Corollary 5 and Table 10.7] that R_1 is insolvable. Thus N_α is insolvable, and so $N_\alpha^{\Gamma(\alpha)}$ is insolvable by Lemma 4.2. Then N_α acts primitively on $\Gamma(\alpha)$ by Lemma 4.1.

Recalling that N is the unique minimal normal subgroup of G , we have $N \text{ char } G$. If T_1 is transitive on V then, applying Corollary 4.6 to the pair (G, T_1) , we have $T_1 \trianglelefteq G$, contrary to the minimality of N . Thus T_1 is intransitive on V . Considering the quadruple (Γ, N, T, T_1) , by Lemma 4.7, $|T_1|$ is a proper divisor of $|T|$, which contracts that $T \lesssim T_1$. Therefore, $k = 1$. This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 4.9. *Assume that G contains a transitive minimal normal subgroup N and a transitive nonabelian simple subgroup T . Then either $d = 7$, $|V| = 8$ and $G \cong \text{AGL}_3(2)$, or $T \leq N$ and N is simple.*

Proof. Choose a maximal intransitive normal subgroup K of G . Then $T \cap K = N \cap K = 1$; in particular, $KN = K \times N$. If $K = 1$ then G is quasiprimitive on V , and so the corollary is true by Lemma 4.8.

Assume that $K \neq 1$. Since $K \leq \mathbf{C}_G(N) \neq N$, by [7, Theorem 4.2A], N is nonabelian. Write $N = T_1 \times \cdots \times T_k$ for some integer $k \geq 1$ and isomorphic nonabelian simple groups T_i . Then G acts transitively on $\{T_1, \dots, T_k\}$ by conjugation. It follows that G/K acts transitively on $\{T_1K/K, \dots, T_kK/K\}$ by conjugation. Thus NK/K is a minimal normal subgroup of G/K . By Lemma 4.7, K has at least three orbits on V . Now consider the quotient graph $\Gamma_{G/K}$. Identifying G/K with a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_{G/K})$, by Lemma 4.3 (1) and (4), we know that Lemma 4.8 works for $\Gamma_{G/K}$, G/K and TK/K . Noting that $N = T_1 \times \cdots \times T_k \cong NK/K \trianglelefteq G/K$, we have $G/K \not\cong \text{AGL}_3(2)$, and hence NK/K is simple and $TK/K \leq NK/K$. By Lemma 4.7, $|K|$ is a proper divisor of $|T|$. If $T \not\leq N$ then $N \cap T = 1$ as T is simple, and so $T \cong TN/N \leq KN/N \cong K$, a contradiction. Thus $N \geq T$, and our result is true. \square

Lemma 4.10. *Assume that G contains a transitive nonabelian simple subgroup T . Let K be a maximal intransitive normal subgroup of G . Then either*

- (1) $G \cong \text{AGL}_3(2)$, $K = 1$, $|V| = 8$ and $d = 7$; or
- (2) T is contained in a characteristic perfect subgroup N of G such that $N/\text{rad}(N)$ is simple, $K \cap N = \text{rad}(N)$ and $K/\text{rad}(N) = \mathbf{C}_{G/\text{rad}(N)}(N/\text{rad}(N))$.

Proof. By the choice of K , we know that G^{V_K} is a quasiprimitive permutation group on V_K . By Lemma 4.7, K is semiregular and has at least three orbits on V . It follows from (4) of Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.8 that either $d = 7$, $|V_K| = 8$ and $G^{V_K} \cong \text{AGL}_3(2)$, or $\text{soc}(G^{V_K})$ is a nonabelian simple group and $T^{V_K} \leq \text{soc}(G^{V_K})$.

Case 1. Assume that $G^{V_K} \cong \text{AGL}_3(2)$. Then $(G^{V_K})_{\alpha K} \cong T \cong \text{PSL}_3(2)$, where $\alpha \in V$. Let $I \triangleleft G$ with $K < I$ and $I/K \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^3$. Then $G = I:T$ and I is regular on V . In particular, $|V| = 8|K| = |I|$. Noting that $|V| = |T : T_\alpha|$, it follows that $|K|$ is a divisor of 21, and so K is solvable. Since $G/K \cong G^{V_K} \cong \text{AGL}_3(2)$, we have $G^{(\infty)}/(G^{(\infty)} \cap K) \cong KG^{(\infty)}/K \cong (G/K)^{(\infty)} \cong \text{AGL}_3(2) \cong G/K$. It follows that $G = KG^{(\infty)}$, and $G^{(\infty)}$ is a perfect extension of $(G^{(\infty)} \cap K):\mathbb{Z}_2^3$ by $\text{PSL}_3(2)$. Noting that $(G^{(\infty)} \cap K):\mathbb{Z}_2^3$ is solvable, it follows from Lemma 3.3 that $G^{(\infty)} \cong \text{AGL}_3(2)$, and $G^{(\infty)} \cap K = 1$. Since $G = KG^{(\infty)}$, we have $((G^{(\infty)})^{V_K})_{\alpha K} = (G^{V_K})_{\alpha K} \cong \text{PSL}_3(2)$. By Lemma 4.4, K is isomorphic to a quotient group of $\text{PSL}_3(2)$, and so $K = 1$ as $|K| < |T|$. Then $G = G^{(\infty)} \cong \text{AGL}_3(2)$, and part (1) of this lemma follows.

Case 2. Assume that $T^{V_K} \leq \text{soc}(G^{V_K})$ and $\text{soc}(G^{V_K})$ is simple. In this case, we have $\text{soc}(G^{V_K}) \cong \text{soc}(G/K)$ and, letting $I = K \cap G^{(\infty)}$,

$$T \cong TK/K \leq \text{soc}(G/K) = (G/K)^{(\infty)} = G^{(\infty)}K/K \cong G^{(\infty)}/I.$$

By Lemma 4.7, $|K|$ is a proper divisor of $|T|$. Then $|I|$ is a proper divisor of $|T|$. Since $T \cong G^{(\infty)}/I$, we know that $|I|^2$ is a proper divisor of $|G^{(\infty)}|$. In particular, $G^{(\infty)} \not\cong I \times I$. Then, by Lemma 3.1, we may choose $N \text{ char } G^{(\infty)}$ such that $G^{(\infty)} = IN$ and $I \cap N = \text{rad}(N)$. Clearly, $N \text{ char } G$, and $\text{rad}(N) = I \cap N = K \cap N$. Let $\overline{G} = G/\text{rad}(N)$, $\overline{N} = N/\text{rad}(N)$ and $\overline{K} = K/\text{rad}(N)$. We have $\overline{K}\overline{N} = \overline{K} \times \overline{N}$, that is, $\overline{K} \leq \mathbf{C}_{\overline{G}}(\overline{N})$.

Note that $\text{rad}(N) \triangleleft G$ and $\text{rad}(N)$ is intransitive on V . By (1) and (4) of Lemma 4.3, $\Gamma_{G/\text{rad}(N)}$ has valency d and, identifying \overline{G} with a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_{G/\text{rad}(N)})$, either d is a prime or $\Gamma_{G/\text{rad}(N)}$ is $(\overline{G}, 2)$ -arc-transitive. By the choice of N , we have

$$\overline{N} = N/\text{rad}(N) \cong G^{(\infty)}/I \cong G^{(\infty)}K/K = \text{soc}(G/K).$$

Then \overline{N} is simple, and so \overline{N} is a minimal normal subgroup of \overline{G} . Noting that $T \leq G^{(\infty)}$, we have $T \cong TK/K \leq G^{(\infty)}K/K \cong \overline{N}$. In particular, $|T|$ divides $|\overline{N}|$.

Let $\bar{T} = T\text{rad}(N)/\text{rad}(N)$. Then $\bar{T} \cong T$. Since T is transitive on V , it is easy to see that \bar{T} acts transitively on $V_{\text{rad}(N)}$; in particular, $|V_{\text{rad}(N)}|$ is a divisor of $|\bar{T}|$. If \bar{N} is intransitive on $V_{\text{rad}(N)}$ then, by (1) of Lemma 4.3, $|\bar{N}|$ is a proper divisor of $|V_{\text{rad}(N)}|$, and so $|\bar{N}| < |V_{\text{rad}(N)}| \leq |\bar{T}| \leq |\bar{N}|$, a contradiction. Thus \bar{N} is a transitive minimal normal subgroup of \bar{G} . By Corollary 4.9, we have $\bar{T} \leq \bar{N}$, yielding $T \leq N$.

Suppose that $\mathbf{C}_{\bar{G}}(\bar{N})$ is transitive on $V_{\text{rad}(N)}$. Then both \bar{N} and $\mathbf{C}_{\bar{G}}(\bar{N})$ are regular on $V_{\text{rad}(N)}$, see [7, Theorem 4.2A]. This implies that $\bar{N} \cong \mathbf{C}_{\bar{G}}(\bar{N})$, refer to [7, Lemma 4.2A]. Thus $\mathbf{C}_{\bar{G}}(\bar{N})$ is simple, and hence $\mathbf{C}_{\bar{G}}(\bar{N})$ is a transitive minimal normal subgroup of \bar{G} . It follows from Lemma 4.5 that $\bar{N} = \mathbf{C}_{\bar{G}}(\bar{N})$, and so \bar{N} is abelian, a contradiction.

Suppose that $\mathbf{C}_{\bar{G}}(\bar{N})$ is intransitive on $V_{\text{rad}(N)}$. Set $\mathbf{C}_{\bar{G}}(\bar{N}) = C/\text{rad}(N)$. Then C is intransitive on V . Recalling that $\bar{K} \leq \mathbf{C}_{\bar{G}}(\bar{N})$, we have $K \leq C$, and hence $K = C$ by the choice of K . Then part (2) of this lemma follows. \square

Lemma 4.11. *Assume that G contains a transitive nonabelian simple subgroup T . Let N and K be as in (2) of Lemma 4.10. Then either N is quasisimple or (4) of Lemma 3.3 holds for N and T .*

Proof. By Lemma 4.7, $|K|$ is a divisor of $|T|$, and so $|\text{rad}(N)|$ is a divisor of $|T|$ as $\text{rad}(N) = K \cap N$. Then N , $\text{rad}(N)$ and T are described as in Lemma 3.3. Thus it suffices to show N and T do not satisfy one of (1)-(3) given as in Lemma 3.3.

Again by Lemma 4.7, K has at least three orbits on V . Then Lemma 4.3 holds for (Γ, G, K, X) , where $X \leq G$. For convenience, we put $\bar{X} = XK/K$ and identify \bar{X} with a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_{G/K})$. Then $\bar{T} \cong T$, $K \cap N = \text{rad}(N)$ and $\bar{N} \cong N/\text{rad}(N)$. Fix $\alpha \in V$, and let $B = \alpha^K$. Since $K \cap T = 1$, applying (2) of Lemma 4.3 to the pair (K, T) , we conclude that $|\bar{T}_B|$ is divisible by $|K|$, and so $|\bar{N}_B|$ is divisible by $|K|$.

Case 1. Suppose that (1) or (2) of Lemma 3.3 holds for N and T . Then $N = \text{rad}(N):T$, and so $\text{soc}(\bar{G}) = \bar{N} = \bar{T} \cong T$. In this case, $|\bar{G} : \bar{N}| \leq 2$, we have $|\bar{G}_B : \bar{N}_B| \leq 2$. Thus $|\bar{N}_B|$ is divisible by every odd divisor of $|\bar{G}_B|$. In particular, $\bar{N}_B \neq 1$, and so Lemma 4.1 works for $(\Gamma_{G/K}, \bar{G}, \bar{N})$.

Subcase 1.1. Assume $N = [2^k]:A_8$ with $k \in \{4, 5, 6\}$. Then $|K \cap N| = |\text{rad}(N)| = 2^k$, $\text{soc}(\bar{G}) = \bar{N} = \bar{T} \cong A_8$, and $|\bar{N}_B|$ is divisible by 2^k .

Suppose that \bar{N}_B is insolvable. Using GAP [29], we search the insoluble subgroups of A_8 with order divisible by 2^k . It follows that $\bar{N}_B \cong S_6$ or $\mathbb{Z}_2^3:\text{PSL}_3(2)$. Assume that $\bar{N}_B \cong S_6$. Then the action of \bar{N} on V_K is equivalent to the rank three action of A_8 on the 2-subsets of a 8-set. It follows that $d = 12$ or 15 . In this case, $\Gamma_{G/K}$ is $(\bar{G}, 2)$ -arc-transitive and of valency d , and then $d - 1$ is a divisor of $|\bar{G}_B|$. Recalling that $|\bar{N}_B|$ is divisible by every odd divisor of $|\bar{G}_B|$, it follows that $|\bar{N}_B|$ has a divisor 11 or 7, which is impossible as $\bar{N}_B \cong S_6$. Thus, we have $\bar{N}_B \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^3:\text{PSL}_3(2)$. Then the action of \bar{N} on V_K is equivalent to the 2-transitive action of $\text{PSL}_4(2)$ on the projective points or on hyperplanes. This implies that $\Gamma_{G/K}$ is the complete graph of order 15, and then \bar{G} acts 3-transitively on V_K . Noting that \bar{N} is not 3-transitive on V_K , we have $\bar{N} \neq \bar{G}$. Then $\bar{G} \cong S_8$; however, S_8 has no transitive permutation representation of degree 15, a contradiction.

Next we suppose that \bar{N}_B is solvable. By (3) of Lemma 4.1, d is a prime power. Since $\bar{N} = \bar{T} \cong A_8$, considering the prime divisors of A_8 , we conclude that $d \in \{2^l, 3, 5, 7, 9\}$, where $2 \leq l \leq 6$. Let $m = 2^k d$ if d is odd, or $m = 2^k(d - 1)$ if d is even.

Then $|\overline{N}_B|$ is divisible by m . Searching by GAP the solvable subgroups of A_8 with order divisible by m , we conclude that \overline{N}_B has the form of $[2^s]:S_3$ or $\mathbb{Z}_2^4:\mathbb{Z}_3^2:\mathbb{Z}_2^2$, where $s \geq 3$ and $0 \leq t \leq 2$. In particular, $d \in \{3, 4, 9\}$. Checking the vertex-stabilizers for connected arc-transitive graphs of valency 4, refer to [19, Lemma 2.6], we have $d \neq 4$. If $d = 3$ then $|\overline{N}_B| = 48$ by [33], and thus $|V_K| = 420$; however, by [4], there is no connected arc-transitive cubic graph of order 420.

Assume that $d = 9$. Then $|\mathbf{O}_2(\overline{N}_B)| \geq 2^4$. Noting that $\mathbf{O}_2(\overline{N}_B) \text{ char } \overline{N}_B$, it follows that $\mathbf{O}_2(\overline{N}_B) \trianglelefteq \overline{G}_B$, and then $\mathbf{O}_2(\overline{N}_B)$ lies in the kernel of \overline{G}_B acting on $\Gamma_{G/K}(B)$. Since \overline{G}_B acts 2-transitively on $\Gamma_{G/K}(B)$, we know that 72 is a divisor of $|\overline{G}_B^{\Gamma_{G/K}(B)}|$, and so $|\overline{G}_B|$ is divisible by $72|\mathbf{O}_2(\overline{N}_B)|$. Then $|\overline{G}_B|$ has a divisor $2^7 \cdot 3^2$. Noting that $\overline{G} \lesssim S_8$, it follows that $|\overline{G} : \overline{G}_B|$ is odd. Then $\Gamma_{G/K}$ has odd order and odd valency, which is impossible.

Subcase 1.2. Assume that $N = \mathbb{Z}_2^{n-2}:A_n$, where $n = 2^e$ for some $e \geq 4$. Then $\text{soc}(\overline{G}) = \overline{N} = \overline{T} \cong A_n$, and $|K \cap N| = |\text{rad}(N)| = 2^{n-2}$. By (2) of Lemma 4.3, $|\overline{T}_B|$ is divisible by 2^{n-2} , it follows that \overline{T}_B has odd index in \overline{T} , and so $|V_K| = |\overline{T} : \overline{T}_B|$ is odd. Then $\Gamma_{G/K}$ is a $(\overline{G}, 2)$ -arc-transitive graph of odd order. By [18, Theorem 1.1]¹, n is odd, a contradiction.

Subcase 1.3. Assume that $N \cong \text{AGL}_3(2)$. Then $\text{soc}(\overline{G}) = \overline{N} = \overline{T} \cong \text{PSL}_3(2)$, and $|K \cap N| = |\text{rad}(N)| = 2^3$. By (2) of Lemma 4.3, $|\overline{N}_B|$ is divisible by 2^3 . Checking the subgroups of $\text{PSL}_3(2)$ with order divisible by 8, we have $\overline{N}_B \cong S_4$ or D_8 . If $\overline{N}_B \cong D_8$ then, noting that $|\overline{G} : \overline{N}| \leq 2$, we have $|\overline{G}_B| \in \{8, 16\}$, which is impossible as Γ_K is $(\overline{G}, 2)$ -arc-transitive. Thus $\overline{N}_B \cong S_4$ and, since $|\overline{N} : \overline{N}_B| = |V_K| = |\overline{G} : \overline{G}_B|$, we have $\overline{G} = \overline{N}$ by checking the subgroups of \overline{G} . Thus $\Gamma_{G/K}$ is the complete graph of order 7. From the 2-arc-transitivity of \overline{G} on $\Gamma_{G/K}$, we conclude that $\text{PSL}_3(2)$ has a 3-transitive permutation representation of degree 7, which is impossible.

Subcase 1.4. Assume that $N = \mathbb{Z}_2^6:\text{PSP}_4(3) \lesssim \text{AGL}_6(2)$. Then $\text{soc}(\overline{G}) = \overline{N} = \overline{T} \cong \text{PSP}_4(3)$, and $|K \cap N| = |\text{rad}(N)| = 2^6$. By (2) of Lemma 4.3, $|\overline{N}_B|$ is divisible by 2^6 . In particular, $|V_K| = |\overline{N} : \overline{N}_B|$ is odd, and so d is even. It follows that $\Gamma_{G/K}$ is $(\overline{G}, 2)$ -arc-transitive, and Γ is $(G, 2)$ -arc-transitive. If $d = 4$ or 6 then, by [19, Lemma 2.6] and [20, Theorem 3.4], $|\overline{G}_B|$ is indivisible by 2^6 , a contradiction.

Now let $d \geq 8$. Checking the subgroups of $\text{PSP}_4(3)$ with order divisible by 2^6 , we conclude that $|\mathbf{O}_2(\overline{N}_B)| \geq 2^4$, and $\mathbb{Z}_2^4:\mathbb{Z}_2^2 \leq \overline{N}_B \leq \mathbb{Z}_2^4:A_5$. Recalling that $|\overline{N}_B|$ is divisible by every odd divisor of $|\overline{G}_B|$, it follows that $|\overline{N}_B|$ is divisible by $d-1$. Then the only possibility is that $d = 16$ and $\overline{N}_B = \mathbb{Z}_2^4:A_5$. By Lemma 4.2, $\overline{N}_B^{\Gamma_{G/K}(B)}$ is insolvable. It follows from Lemma 4.1 that $\overline{N}_B^{\Gamma_{G/K}(B)}$ is 2-transitive on $\Gamma_{G/K}(B)$, and so $\Gamma_{G/K}$ is $(\overline{N}, 2)$ -arc-transitive as \overline{N} is transitive on V_K . Then, by (3) of Lemma 4.3, Γ is $(KN, 2)$ -arc-transitive.

By Lemma 4.4, $K/(K \cap N)$ is isomorphic to a quotient group of \overline{N}_B , it follows that $K/(K \cap N) = 1$, and so $K = K \cap N = \text{rad}(N)$. Thus Γ is an $(N, 2)$ -arc-transitive graph of valency 16. By (2) of Lemma 4.3, $N_\alpha \cong \overline{N}_B$, and so $N_\alpha \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^4:A_5$. Let $\beta \in \Gamma(\alpha)$, and $x \in N$ with $(\alpha, \beta)^x = (\alpha, \beta)$. Then $N_{\alpha\beta} \cong A_5$, $x \in \mathbf{N}_N(N_{\alpha\beta})$ and $x^2 \in N_{\alpha\beta}$. Since Γ is connected, $N = \langle x, N_\alpha \rangle$, refer to [2, page 118, 17B]. Recall that $N = \mathbf{O}_2(N):T = \mathbb{Z}_2^6:\text{PSP}_4(3) \lesssim \text{AGL}_6(2)$. By the Atlas [6], for $1 \leq l \leq 5$, we

¹In part (ii) of [18, Theorem 1.1], the value of n should be $2^{e+1} - 1$ but not $\binom{2^{e+1}-1}{2^e-1}$.

conclude that $\mathrm{SL}_l(2)$ has no subgroup isomorphic to $T = \mathrm{PSp}_4(3)$. It follows that T is an irreducible subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_6(2)$, and thus we may consider N as an affine primitive permutation group of degree 2^6 . Confirmed by GAP, N has a unique conjugacy class of subgroups isomorphic to N_α . This allows us to choose N_α as a subgroup of T . Then, by a further computation using GAP, we conclude that there is no desired x with $N = \langle x, N_\alpha \rangle$, a contradiction.

Case 2. Suppose that N and T satisfy (3) of Lemma 3.3. Then \overline{N} is a simple group of Lie type with characteristic 2, and $\overline{N} \neq \overline{T} \cong T = \mathrm{A}_{2^e}$ for some $e \geq 3$. Noting that $\overline{N} = \overline{T} \overline{N}_B$, by [30, Theorem 1.1], $T = \mathrm{A}_8$ and one of the following holds:

- (i) $\overline{N} \cong \mathrm{PSp}_6(2)$, and $\overline{N}_B \cong [3^3]:\mathbb{Z}_8:\mathbb{Z}_2$, $[3^3]:2\mathrm{S}_4$, $\mathrm{PSL}_2(8)$, $\mathrm{PSL}_2(8):3$, $\mathrm{PSU}_3(3):2$ or $\mathrm{PSU}_4(2):2$;
- (ii) $\overline{N} \cong \mathrm{PSp}_8(2)$, and $\overline{N}_B \cong \mathrm{P}\Omega_8^-(2):2$;
- (iii) $\overline{N} \cong \mathrm{P}\Omega_8^+(2)$, and $\overline{N}_B \cong \mathrm{Sp}_6(2)$, $\mathrm{PSU}_4(2)$, $\mathrm{PSU}_4(2):2$, $3 \times \mathrm{PSU}_4(2)$, $(3 \times \mathrm{PSU}_4(2)):2$ or A_9 .

By Lemma 3.3, $\overline{N} \lesssim \mathrm{PSL}_l(2)$ for some l with $2^l \leq |\mathbf{O}_2(N)| \in \{2^4, 2^5, 2^6\}$. It follows from [17, page 200, Proposition 5.4.13] that $l = 6$ and $\overline{N} \cong \mathrm{PSp}_6(2)$. Then $\overline{G} = \overline{N}$. Recalling that $|\mathrm{rad}(N)|$ is a divisor of $|\overline{T}_B|$, it follows that 2^6 is a divisor of $|\overline{G}_B|$. This forces that $\overline{G}_B \cong \mathrm{PSU}_3(3):2$ or $\mathrm{PSU}_4(2):2$. By the 2-arc-transitivity of \overline{G} on $\Gamma_{G/K}$, either $\mathrm{PSU}_3(3):2$ or $\mathrm{PSU}_4(2):2$ has a 2-transitive permutation representation of degree d , which is impossible by [3, Table 7.4]. This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be a connected G -arc-transitive graph of valency $d \geq 3$. Assume that G contains a vertex-transitive nonabelian simple subgroup T , and that either d is a prime or Γ is $(G, 2)$ -arc-transitive. By Lemma 4.5, G has at most one transitive minimal normal subgroup. If G has a transitive minimal normal subgroup M then, by Corollary 4.9, either (1) of Theorem 1.2 holds or M is simple and $T \leq M$. In the general case, taking a maximal intransitive normal subgroup K of G , by Lemma 4.10, either (Γ, G) is described as in (1) of Theorem 1.2, or G has a characteristic perfect subgroup N such that $T \leq N$, $N/\mathrm{rad}(N)$ is simple, $K \cap N = \mathrm{rad}(N)$ and $K/\mathrm{rad}(N) = \mathbf{C}_{G/\mathrm{rad}(N)}(N/\mathrm{rad}(N))$. For the latter case, $|\mathrm{rad}(N)|$ is a divisor of $|T|$ by Lemma 4.7, and we obtain (2)(i) or (ii) of Theorem 1.2 from Lemma 4.11. This completes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Aschbacher, *Finite group theory*, Cambridge University Press, London, 1986.
- [2] N.L. Biggs, *Algebraic graph theory*, Cambridge University Press, London, 1974.
- [3] P.J. Cameron, *Permutation Groups*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [4] M. Conder and P. Dobcsányi, Trivalent symmetric graphs on up to 768 vertices, *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.* **40** (2002), 41-63.
- [5] M.D. Conder, C.H. Li and C.E. Praeger, On the Weiss conjecture for finite locally primitive graphs, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* **43** (2000), 129-138.
- [6] J.H. Conway, R.T. Curtis, S.P. Norton, R.A. Parker and R.A. Wilson, *Atlas of Finite Groups*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1985.
- [7] J.D. Dixon and B. Mortimer, *Permutation Groups*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.
- [8] J.L. Du, Y.Q. Feng, J.X. Zhou, Pentavalent symmetric graphs admitting vertex-transitive non-abelian simple groups, *Eur. J. Combin.* **63** (2017), 134-145.

- [9] X.G. Fang, C.H. Li and M.Y. Xu, On edge-transitive Cayley graphs of valency four, *Eur. J. Combin.* **25** (2004), 1107-1116.
- [10] X.G. Fang, X.S. Ma and J. Wang, On locally primitive Cayley graphs of finite simple groups, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **118** (2011), 1039-1051.
- [11] X.G. Fang, C.E. Praeger and J. Wang, On the automorphism groups of Cayley graphs of finite simple groups, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **66** (2002), 563-578.
- [12] R.D. Fray, Congruence properties of ordinary and q -binomial coefficients. *Duke Math. J.* **34** (1967), 467-480.
- [13] M. Giudici and C.H. Li, On finite edge-primitive and edge-quasiprimitive graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **100** (2010), 275-298.
- [14] A. Gardiner, Arc transitivity in graphs, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2)* **24** (1973), 399-407.
- [15] R.M. Guralnick, Subgroups of prime power index in a simple group, *J. Algebra* **81** (1983), 304-311.
- [16] A.A. Ivanov and C.E. Praeger, On finite affine 2-arc-transitive graph, *Eur. J. Combin.* **14** (1993), 421-444.
- [17] P. Kleidman and M. Liebeck, *The subgroup structure of the finite classical groups*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 129. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [18] C. H. Li, J. J. Li and Z. P. Lu, Two-arc-transitive graphs of odd order – II, *European. J. Combin.* **96** (2021), 103354.
- [19] C.H. Li, Z.P. Lu and G.X. Wang, The vertex-transitive and edge-transitive tetravalent graphs of square-free order, *J. Algebra Combin.* **42** (2015), 25-50.
- [20] C.H. Li, Z.P. Lu and G.X. Wang, Arc-transitive graphs of square-free order and small valency, *Discrete Math.* **339** (2016), 2907-2918.
- [21] C.H. Li, L. Wang and B.Z. Xia, Finite simple groups: Factorizations, Regular Subgroups, and Cayley Graphs, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2012.09551>.
- [22] C.H. Li, Ákos Seress and S.J. Song, s -arc-transitive graphs and normal subgroups, *J. Algebra* **421**(2015), 331-348.
- [23] M. Liebeck, On the orders of maximal subgroups of the finite classical groups, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **50** (1985), 426-446.
- [24] M. W. Liebeck, C. E. Praeger and J. Saxl, Transitive Subgroups of Primitive Permutation Groups, *J. Algebra* **234** (2000), 291-361.
- [25] P. Lorimer, Vertex-transitive graphs: symmetric graphs of prime valency, *J. Graph Theory* **8** (1984), 55-68.
- [26] Z.P. Lu, On edge-primitive 2-arc-transitive graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **171** (2020), 105172.
- [27] C.E. Praeger, An O’Nan-Scott theorem for finite quasiprimitive permutation groups and an application to 2-arc transitive graphs, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* (2) **47** (1993), 227-239.
- [28] C.E. Praeger, Finite quasiprimitive graphs, *Surveys in combinatorics*, 1997. Proceedings of the 16th British combinatorial conference, London, UK, July 1997 (R. A. Bailey, ed.), *Lond. Math. Soc. Lect. Note Ser.*, no. 241, Cambridge University Press, 1997, pp. 65-85.
- [29] The GAP Group, GAP-Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.13.0, 2024. <http://www.gap-system.org>
- [30] B.Z. Xia, Quasiprimitive groups containing a transitive alternating group, *J. Algebra* **490** (2017), 555-567.
- [31] S. J. Xu, X. G. Fang, J. Wang and M. Y. Xu, On cubic s -arc transitive Cayley graphs of finite simple groups, *Eur. J. Combin.* **26** (2005), 133-143.
- [32] F.G. Yin, Y.Q. Feng, J.X. Zhou and S.S. Chen, Arc-transitive Cayley graphs on nonabelian simple groups with prime valency, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **177** (2021), 1-20.
- [33] W.T. Tutte, A family of cubical graphs, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **43** (1947), 459-474.
- [34] R. Weiss, s -transitive graphs, Algebraic methods in graph theory, *Colloq. Math. Soc. Janos Bolyai* **25** (1981), 827-847.

Z.P. LU, CENTER FOR COMBINATORICS, LPMC, NANKAI UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN 300071, P. R. CHINA

Email address: lu@nankai.edu.cn